### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 167 708 CE 018 322

AUTHOR Spenner, Kenneth I.

TITLE Occupations, Role Characteristics and

Intergenerational Transmission.

INSTITUTION Stanford Univ., Calir. Boys Town Center for the Study

of Youth Development.

SPONS AGENCY Employment and Training Administration (DOL).

Washington, D.C.

FUB DATE 8 Sep 78

CONTRACT DL-91-55-76-45

NOTE 30p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting or the

American Sociological Association (73rd, San Francisco, California, September 4-8, 1978); Not available in hard copy due to thin type in the

original document

EDRS PRICE MF01 Plus Postage. PC Not Available from EDRs.
DESCRIPTORS Career Choice; Data Analysis; Eligibility; Fatners:

\*Males: \*Occupational Aspiration: \*Occupational

Choice: Occupational Information: Parental

Background; \*Parent Influence; Rewards; Role Models; \*Role Percention; Statistical Analysis; Statistical

\*Role Perception; Statistical Analysis; Statistical

Studies

IDENTIFIEFS \*Intergenerational Occupational Movement;

\*Occupational Role Transmission

## ABSTRACT

Various studies have been conducted to inductively locate the aspects of occupation in one generation which are transmitted to the next generation as found in corresponding aspects of their labor force aspirations and entry levels. This study restricted attention to intergenerational covariation in components cf roles rather than to the structural or interpersonal mechanisms which might explain transmission. Occupations were conceptualized and measured on the basis of indicators for role requirements, content. and rewards. (The author states that role transmission presumes covariation between the requisites, routines, and rewards of parental occupations and the corresponding components of offspring aspirations and eventual labor force occupations.) Data were collected from a national sample of male members of the civilian labor force and from a sample of Michigan high school males. Canonical correlation analysis was used as a multivariate strategy for partitioning the covariance between two sets of scores into orthogonal pairs of linear combinations (dimensions). Canonical correlation analyses for two role relationships, involving parent occupation, early career occupation and late adolescent occupational aspirations, show that (1) role transmission occurs for a multiplicity of occupational characteristics which span requirements, content, and rewards or roles; (2) that the two role relationships are not isomorphic in their structure; (3) that there is support for recent arguments that complexity of roles is a key organizing feature of role transmission processes; and (4) that there is mixed support for recent research on patterns of intergenerational occupational movement. (Author/Bd)



OCCUPATIONS, ROLE CHARACTERISTICS

AND INTERGENERATIONAL TRANSMISSION

Kenneth I. Spenner

Center for the Study of Youth Development Boys Town

FORMCWLEDGEMENTS: This research was supported by a grant from the Employment and Training Administration of the U.S. Department of Labor (#41-55-76-45). I wish to acknowledge Archibald O. Haller and David L. Fratherman for their comments, and the Center for Demography and Ecclogy at the University of Wisconsin-Madison for computing assistance. The opinions expressed are solely those of the author.

Paper prepared for presentation at the 73rd Annual Meeting of the American Schiological Association, San Francisco, September 4-8, 1978.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE-SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY



· ...

### All Ind 1

The first of a super-state the faters to religious are consequential and measure:

If the first of initiations for role requirements, content, and rewards.

It is the first of super-state thought to underlike overall role characterics.

is a perision of a matienal sample of male members of the civilian of a large and to a sountywide sample of high school males. Canonical convolution analyses for two role relationships, involving parent occupations, two of careful career occupation and late adolescent occupational aspirations, two of calc transmission occurs for a multiplicity of occupational mana teriotics which span the requirements, content and rewards of roles; the two role relationships are not isomorphic in their structure; (3) of occupations are not isomorphic in their structure; (4) which is resent arguments that complexity of roles is a key organizing to the of role transmission processes; (4) mixed support for recent to cauch or patterns of intergenerational occupational movement.



The transfer end immenses have been conserved with inequalities in <u>residingly</u> made letwich dependings. Pavis and Meore (1945) and Duncum (1968, the meant to the persistence of, recombinent to, and the allocation of present for <u>medicing</u> process takes individuals as the unit of analysis in studying the determinant transmission of inequalities (Blau and Duncan, 1967; Oct. No. et al. 1972; Sewell and Sauser, 1975).

The unit of analysis for these theoretical approaches is the rank or I ration of roles or individuals. Occupation as a performed social role is a "molecular" subcomponent of individual or positional rank. It is usually the state as the best single indicator of class or overall economic position.

The other important consequences of this stance has been to focus most of the attention on overall characteristic aspects of occupational roles and insertion on overall characteristic aspects of occupational roles are insertion, prestige, manual-nonmanual). While these approaches have the constitutes intergenerational role transmission.

Where others have taken occupation, particularly in its socioeconomic sense, as a molecular component of a larger positional rank, this research takes occupation as the molar phenomenon and investigates its molecular subcomponents. Under an organizing conceptualization of occupation, the analyses colow industively locate the aspects of occupation in one generation which are transmitted to the next generation as found in corresponding aspects of their labor force aspirations and entry levels. The implications for current research that are addressed include (a) attempting to reproduce Mortimer's (1974) findings on patterns of intergenerational occupational movement; (b) providing new empirical evidence on the

5



In the standard of the neutron of "control of the new ment that expeditive complexity underlied the new ment that expeditive complexity underlied the new many and the property of the paper rectnicts attention to the the transfer of roles rather than to the transfer of the new many many transmission.

# CHEMPO BE MOUVEBEAU RECEARCH

A control engine have shown that the major dimension underlying interdistributed engage incl movement is "sepideconomic." Blau and Duncan Control-do tracks ithis conclusion in performing a smallest-space analysis of metrics of mobility in-flow and out-flow between fathers and tip. The primary dimension provided for an ordering of occupation groups will be a live approximated the relative earnings and education levels of the contept. Analysis and Hodge (1971) and Featherman, Jones and Hauser left name restfirmed that conclusion.

Westiter (1974) has provided one of the few examinations of "other" agent it interpresentational occupational movement. She hypothesizes that the corporate of father's work are the source of values which are the mitter to some and reflected in their occupational aspirations: (a) to extent of work autonomy; (b) the characteristic rewards of the occupation and (c) the functional foci or predominant functions of work activities. It examine this hypothesis, the detailed occupations of fathers along with their sons' detailed career choices were examined in a smallest-space analysis. A two-dimensional solution was taken as a good fit (see Mornimer, Figure 1, 1974:1288).

In the smallest-space array, one of the axes was labelled "our equivatio-entrepreneurial" and the other was left <u>unlabelled</u>. Instead,



a factor follows to the orchosomal axes—was imposed on the space.

The definited which of falling on one side of this inserted axis were labelled found to a waith of "intrinsic rewards" while the remainder of the strong to ill in the "extrinsic rewards" region. To interpret the dimensions Marking up i compution—related variables such as autonomy, money, we arrive, and helping people versus work with data or things (Mortimer, total 1999).

Two points should be noted. First, one of the dimensions that was used to order the essupetional groups was left uninterpreted. Rather, Managinary draw upon star orthogonal axis and the oblique "intrinsic-extrinsic reward" axis as a basis for interpretations. Second, several of the "occuparticular egories" are not homogeneous accupational categories but classof-worker or industry categories with a common functional foci (e.g., "sell-employed business who work with people"). Given these categories, along with the ambiguitles in the interpretation of dimensions, it is not elean weather the reported attributes of work roles are the ones which come into play in structuring father-son interaction. A more precise test of the hypothesis would utilize a more detailed occupational classification system, examine additional work role attributes, and utilize a sample having areater variation in father's occupations and son's career choices. It might also examine sons! labor force entry occupations to see if the same patterns of the transmission govern actual role movements (compared to carrer choices).

A third relevant—teme in the literature suggests that <u>complexity</u>, both internal (cognitive) and external (substantive) to self, is a central aspect of certain role processes. This includes the way "status" is intergenerationally transmitted (Spaeth, 1976; Gaertner, 1977), the ways



That a less structure individual and interperconal processes and capabilitions (North, 1975), and the ways occupational activity affects individual (NY 100 First Community, ententations, and values (Kohn, 1969; Kohn and Udde (First 1978). For example, Spacth (1976:128) argues:

loss for Sparth, the currency of "socioeconomic" intergenerational transmicaion is in the capacity to cope with cognitive complexity.

To summarize: there is reason to believe that the fundamental highar-chical dimension underlying occupational roles across generations is a socioe conomic one (Hauser and Featherman, 1977:3-50), yet the detailed observatoristies of roles that might constitute the dimension are not well understool. In one of the few attempts to expand this understanding (Mortimer, 1974) several additional work role attributes were hypothesized to underlie role transmission but less than complete confidence could be placed in the findings. Finally, there are several arguments that point to the complexity of roles as a source of characteristics that might define intergenerational transmission.

# AN CEGANIZING CONCEPTION AND MEASURES FOR OCCUPATION

It is convenient to think of occupations as social roles (Reiss, et al, 1961; Duncan, 1963; Duncan, Featherman and Duncan, 1972; Hall, 1975).

Febavior in a role presupposes recruitment or allocation to the role and having met the requirements for entry. Occupational role requirements can



the principle three factors, formal or informal, <u>she facto</u> or <u>de jure</u>, that believes a three to the compation. Requirements here are most similar to what land a starts terms the "principal bases of allocation" for the terms of parsonnel to roles in a division of labor.

A regard pertition of roles refers to the enactment of the content of the talk of the nature of the work, how it is done, and under what conditional. Bole <u>content</u> refers to those variable features of activities and regarded that are characteristic of occupations. An example of a classification system for routines and activities is the <u>Dictionary of Occupational Parker</u> (1965).

A final organizing Teature of occupational roles, <u>sanctions</u>, refer to force orwanis and punishments accruing to the incumbent as a function of:

a) morely residing in the role (e.g., the median earnings of physicians relative to elementary school teachers); and (b) the fulfillment or non-falfillment of expectations with respect to performance in the occupation.

The various rewards to role incumbents are often organized in terms of their "increasis" and "extrinsic" qualities (Herzberg, Mausner, and Snyderman, 1969; Kohn, 1969; Kalleberg, 1977).

Rule transmission presumes covariation between the requisites, routines, and rewards of parental occupations and the corresponding components of offspring aspirations and eventual labor force occupations.

Givenal criteria were considered in selecting indicators for the role concepts, including their importance in previous research, attempts to span the ranges of requirements, content, and rewards, and choosing indicators which could be generated readily for detailed occupation classification systems. Drawing from existing research (Temme, 1975; Kohn and Schooler,



In the Lie Lie words and E. Herberg, A N is Mooth torough the following where the x and x is the fact that are for the x and x interpretable x

	Basin IB AMERICA	SCURCE
• •	editivational attainment  applific vocational preparation  resial composition  as composition	(published-+0.S. Census (Temme, 1975) (publishedU.S. Census) (publishedU.S. Census)
	4.15.1 <u>00.5</u>	
	function. I form (a) data and (b) peo	ple and (e) things
;; ;; ;;	sunctantive complexity neutinimation sloneness of supervision unortainties	(Temme, 1975) (Temme, 1975) (see below) (see below) [see below)
	BBWARIE	
	earnings - mployment security	<pre>ipublishedU.S. Census) (publishedU.S. Census)</pre>

The seneral decign of the research included developing a matrix of some composed of 1960 and 1970 three-digit census occupations scored on each of the eleven indicators. After occupations of interest (father's, offereing aspirations, and early career) were coded in census categories for data sources, the appropriate vectors of scores were merged with the individual data records for substantive analyses. The measurement ideal would be to ascertain the indicator information directly from individuals with regard to their particular jobs. In the absence of such information, these scores allow a pragmatic beginning with a level of precision comparable to previous research (Mortimer, 1974; Temme, 1975; Hauser and Featherman, 1977).

For the indicators based on published Bureau of Census sources the following information was generated for each of the 295, 1960 and 595, 1970



The first of the first of the control of the contro

the next which measure these upon the Particology of Conseptions, Titles in a contract was some effects to the same of the Part variables, but seek the exerticism decade, 1974; busine, 1972; bave either: (a) against violation of attentions and of estagories loss detailed than the Concus system materialism of the wall entergories; bluestons, 1974; Bokauc, 1964; Son-classified that the contract wall entergories; bluestons, 1974; Bokauc, 1964; Son-classified to the contract decade averages for occupation cate-time in a factor of the maximum entergory detail while minimizing within-the system maximum entergory detail while minimizing within-the system for a contract on variables. Since the Dull uses a different factor of validation system than the concus codes, the desired secret materials by a wrighted average comes codes, the desired secret makes the particle of the contract come concus occupation cate-theory in this several hundred DuT jobs). Procedures departing from the code, either in number of categories or weighting of estimates, this way in the concustor amounts of within-category variation into the easily.

Term (1777) has recently used this procedure in providing estimates (or specific vecational preparation, functional fooi (data, people, fairgn), and the overall substantive complexity of work, for detailed 1960 and 1000 sensus categories using the April 1971 Current Population Survey. Fortuna details of the estimation procedure can be found in Temme (1875)166-243).



which is a local control of the property of th

Fig. 1. The fit in account for the role noutline at the Lobert 1969:153-tell also that the statement for the work of Hohm (1969:153-tell also that the statement less that the fitting of routenless in the statement of the statem

Timples to the mapping and weighting techniques described by Temme (1973) on Flat (1974). A Back of the dishapmounty second <u>DOT</u> items, when we could also apply 1 into detailed ecosus categories, is represented by a many ranging from 0 to 1. The two indicators for routieization and the two indicators for coloseness of supervision were reflected (such that high numbers values represented freedom from routinization and close supervision of a story and ecobined in an unweighted sum for each of the two variables. Sther analyses (Spenner, 1977:106-126) indicate that this scaling



is the first of the first of the fixth of the point of the first point of the fixth of the fixt

# 

The first transfer of the Teller operation of the engineering of the e

In the fiction of supplies of supplies easest choice relationship for the fit and Weyran-old males empolled in high schools in Lenawee of the Million, in 1967 (Haller and Million, 1971). Of the 442 responsibility, we expected their technols operation and articulated a realistic of a technic equation is sufficient betail to permit coding in 1960 trace-data a contact of extension extensions and the merge of occupational role to extension messages.

. At third correlation analysis (lime, 1979:347-958) is used as a multivariety strategy for the fibelegy the somerimes between two sets of



a core into orthogonal pairs of linear content orthonosimensions). This procedure offers compare whity with the unit topic (Blau and Duncan, 1967; Klataky and Hodge, 1 as, and Houser,  $(9.5)^6$ 

## RESULTS

The means, standard deviations, and intergenerational zero-order correlations of occupation variables for father's occupation, respondent's compliance aspirations and early career occupation are reported in Table 1. The CCG scores are based on 595 census occupation-industry categories white the Lenawee County scores are based on the 295, 1960 census occupation categories.

To asserts the underlying axes between the two sets of role charactericities across generations for each of the role relationships canonical correlation analysis was used. Ordinarily, the canonical weights would be used to describe the net extent to which each variable contributes to the make-up of each linear covariate. But among the set of occupation variables there are substantial colinearities (Spenner, 1977:470-471) rendering the interpretation of the canonical weights questionable. Since the actual values of the canonical variates are unaffected by this problem, the correlations of each variable with the respective variate were constructed and are provided in Table 2. The coefficients measure the total association between variable and variate, including "direct effect" and the input a variable has to the linear combination as a function of its association with other variables in the set. Substantive significance will be attributed to a variable if it holds more than 15 or 20 percent of its variance



in common with a variate (r=.4) which far exceeds statistical significance for these samples.

In considering the father's occupation relationship, several features of an accupation relationship, several features of an accupation accupation research while others extend it. First, as implied in Duncan's scale (1961) and reproduced a number of times since its conception using more aggregated analyses (Blau and Duncan, 1967; Klatzky and Hodge, 1971; Featherman, Jones and Hauser, 1975), "education" and "income," generically speaking, provide the fundamental ingredients of the major axis for transmission. Seventy percent of the variance in father's occupation-specific median education and 72 percent for median income is held in common with father's first canonical variate while for son's first variate the common variance is 75 percent for median education and 72.6 percent for median income. Inasmuch as stratification research includes these components, it cannot be faulted by the molecular outcomes reported here.

The first canonical dimension is also defined by the content of work. For fither, three additional variables have a nontrivial portion of their variance in common with the first variate: substantive complexity of work (43.61), degree of involvement with people (48.9%), and involvement with things (35.9%). The first variate for son's also draws on the same variables (substantive complexity of work-65.4%; involvement with people-37.3%; but only marginally upon involvement with things-15.4%) and additionally upon involvement with data (52.3%), specific vocational preparation (33.6%), and freedom from close supervision (21.3%). If this first dimension is taken as a fundamentally "socioeconomic" then these results correborate existing research in the importance attributed to occupation-specific education and earnings. They extend previous research in



empiritally demonstrating that other characteristics of occupations, particularly certain features of the content of work, are also important in referencing the same basic dimension.

A second major feature is repanel of Table 2 shows the second variate for both rathers reneed largely by variables which can be thought of as indexing in ative and cognitive complexity of work content (for both fathers and sons, level of involvement with data, overall substantive complexity of work, freedom from close supervision, and freedom (non-routinization). This pattern is more pronounced for fathers than for sons. As with the first pair of variates, it is roughly the case that the components of father's occupation that define transmission are the same ones that sons experience as a function of transmission.

It might be argued that the first dimension refers to status transmission while the vecond dimension references a different type of non-status role transmission. In this respect, these findings corroborate and extend our knowledge on the constitution of status transmission, and provide some unitial evidence on the constitution of a second "non-status" dimension, that largely references a transmission of the content of work. On the other hand, it might be argued that both pairs of variates are different manifestations of a singular socioeconomic transmission. One obtains an "artificial" orthogonalization of the two dimensions with canonical correlation analysis. Resolution of these interpretations requires the estimation of more complex models, beyond the scope of this paper. None-theless, these results permit statements about the relative importance of role characteristics in intergenerational transmission irrespective of how the reference dimensions stand theoretically in relation to one another.



The corresponding correlations for the father's occupation-son's compational aspiration relationship can be found in the lower panel of Table 2. Compared to the father's occupation-son's early career occupation relationship there are some similarities in the first dimension but few in the second pair lian education ngs most strongly deal of error con's aspiretions (for father: median education, 47.2%; median earnings, 45.73; and for son: median education, 45.4%; median earnings, 59.6%). Similar to OCG sons, other features of work roles also index the first dimension, including involvement with people and things, substantive complexity of work and race composition. On the other hand, the second canonical dimension in father's occupation-son's occupational aspiration rela-Fionship is only minimally referenced by the role characteristics measured by post

The main line of correspondence between the two intergenerational role relationships is the first dimension—in the prominence of occupation—specific earnings and education as definers of transmission and additionally, in the general importance of other role characteristics indexing the centent of work. Hence, there is some reason to believe the two role relationships may be governed by a very similar primary dimension both in its size and constitution. On the other hand, there is no indication of cimilar types of role transmission for the second pairs of variates. This suggests the features of roles relevant in describing intergenerational transmission to aspirations are only in part important in the same were and to the same extent as they are in intergenerational transmission to actual labor force activity.



These results support arguments that cognitive complexity of environments in one of the major dimensions underlying the sectoconomic schieves and precess (Specth, 1970). A precise test of Spacth's hypotheses would require measures of the capacity to cope with cognitive complexity as well at the complexity of (work) environments for parents and offspring. These data include the latter kind the latter kind the former. None taless, the data include the latter kind the complexity show a transmission of complex occupational environments: a number of components of roles in one reservation, which are closely related to the complexity of environments (levels of involvement with data and things, substantive complexity of work, freedom from close supervision and routinization, and race composition of the occupation) are traceable to some of the same components of occupational roles in the previous generation.

Ferhaps a stronger statement, one that modifies and extends Spaeth's hypotheses is in order. Spaeth (1976) conceptualizes complexity as a variable aspect of environment that is nighly colinear and overshadowed by the socioeconomic status of the occupation. If one believes that only the first dimension is "socioeconomic," then these findings provide firm reason to believe that hierarchical components of complexity are an intricate part of the socioeconomic transmission along the first axis. Moreover, other aspects of roles, "complexity" of work in other senses of the term, appear to be transmitted through the second orthogonal axis. On the other hand, if it is believed that both the first and second dimensions constitute "socioeconomic" status transmission, then even greater support is offered for the complexity thesis as the second dimension is primarily defined by characteristics indicating the content complexity of roles (see Kohn and Schooler, 1978). Yet these results are somewhat at variance with Spaeth's



heavy emphasis on <u>cognitive</u> complexity, compared to an emphasis on <u>complex-</u>
ity as a multi-faceted construct, having <u>cognitive</u> and <u>non-cognitive</u>
manifestations.

Finally, how well do those outcomes repreduce Mortimer's (1974) findings? The sample differences preclude precise comparisons. Her Michigan sample has restricted sociocomemic origins for fathers, uses a coller male population and assesses career choicer when the respondents were collere conjour. The OCG and Lenawee County data have much greater variation in occupations, and assess aspirations when the respondents were high school seniors.

It Mortimer's findings are characteristic of the covariance in role relationships across generations then the occupation variables underlying her reported dimensions should play a major role in referencing the canonioni variates. The variables for levels of involvement with data, people and things eincumscribe her discussion of the functional foci of work. To defines which variables might reference the bureaucratic-entrepreneurial distinction measures of association between the role variables for father's or upation (CCC) and a dummy variable for father's class-of-worker (malaried-government employee versus self-employed) were examined. Only three (level of involvement with data, freedom from close supervision, and freedom from routinization) hold even a modest association with this variable (i.e., r = .40-.52). The "intrinsic-extrinsic" rewards axis used by Mortime: should minimally encompass the role characteristics of median earnings, employment security and possibly substantive complexity of work. This ser of variables (listed in Table 3) should be important in role transmission in order to corroborate Mortimer's (1974) findings.



Tince canonical variates are ambiguous if compared to Mortimer's dimensions (due to the oblique neward axis that was used), a more liberal mittain was adopted: the sum total of common variance between an occupation variable and the first three canonical variates for father or son.

Table a provides a summary of predicted and obtained outcome.

For the or, or of the variables important ... Morrimen's scheme in fact play that role in varying degrees (levels of involvement with people and things, median earnings, substantive complaxing, and employment security). Important though, the three occupation variables that most closely reference a bureaucratic-entrepreneurial distinction have little of their variance in common with the first three canonical variates. This is the case for father and for son. Additionally, median education (along with specific vocational preparation, race emposition, and uncertainty if son's job activity is considered)--not mentioned in Mortimer's discussion, although perhaps implicit in her mention of social status--are important role in referencing intergenerational occupational movement. These findings question the efficacy of the "bureaucratic-entrepreneurial" distinction and the wisdom of excluding role requirements (median education). As one moves to a larger population the picture of covariation across generations changes. Thus, our studies concur in the impoytameeof rewards and certain of the content variables as they form a basis for father-son interaction. Our studies disagree on the importance of other work characteristics in intergenerational role transmission.

For son's actual labor force activity in relation to father's occupation, a different set of conclusions is appropriate. With the possible exception of involvement with things, the variables discussed by Mortimer



three variates for both father and son. This is not to endorse the "bureaucratic-entreprendurial distinction" for the disaggregated component are likely more informative. In contrast to the second contrast

 $_{\rm c}$  uses of decepational roles bear modest to high importance in defining transmission.  $^8$ 

## DISCUSULUM

It was suggested that occupational roles should be disaggregated into their constituent components to elucidate certain role processes. Overall aggregate or "molar" characterizations, while fruitful depictions of general features of roles such as their desirability-resources (socioeconomic status) or work focus (manual-nonmanual) for certain types of research questions, leave unclear how detailed components of roles come into play.

Several conclusions are supported. First, transmission between tather's occupation and son's early career occupation, when cast in a statistical frame of linear orthogonal combinations, appears as a multiplicity of role characteristics in two dimensions. The first closely reproduces "socioeconomic" status transmission in its size and makeup, and spans the requisite, content, and reward features of roles. A second dimension, socioeconomic or otherwise depending on one's theoretical predilection, depicts another line of transmission centering around work role content, particularly its complexity. Second, an alternate role relationship (aspirations) shows only partial isomorphism to the former. Third, these outcomes support recent arguments about the complexity of roles and environments as organizing features of role processes (Spaeth, 1976) but



modify them in pointing out the varigated nature of the acc Finally, mixed current for a some

importance. On the other hand, the wisdom of excluding other features (rele requisites) is questionable, as is the fruitfulness of the "bure-sugratio-entrepreneurial" distinction.

Several questions both note the limitations of this research and portend a future agenda. What constitutes sufficient detail and inclusiveness of role characteristics? Most studies, like this one, use more ad hoc than theoretically motivated decision rules. Which non-orthogonal dimensionalization best describes the organization of occupational roles? Estimation is in progress with more flexible multivariate procedures yet it perhaps only began the larger task: the need for comprehensive theoretical statements about the molecular social organization of occupational roles.



- This distinction is not clear in Mortimer's work; nor is it obvious how the "third axis" was superimposed on the two-dimensional array. For example, professors and teachers are placed in the group that works with people, falling outside of the group which works with "people and the communication of ideas" (see Mortimer, Figure 1, 1974:1288).
- For related conceptual schemes of occupations, see Temme (1975), Bielby and Kalleberg (1975), and Reiss, et al. (1961).
- $^3$  This includes vocational education, apprenticeship training, in-plant training, on-the-job training, as well as essential experience in other jobs. The <u>SVP</u> estimates range from 1 (short demonstration only) to 9 (over 10 years).
- $^4$  The specific indicators were taken from the  $\underline{\rm DOT}$  "temperament" variables (1905; Volume II, 649-656). For routinization they were:
  - 1. Situations involving a variety of duties often characterized by frequent change.
  - 2. Situations involving repetitive or short-cycle operations carried out according to set procedures or sequences.

For closeness of supervision the indicators were:

- 3. Situations involving doing things only under specific instruction, allowing little or no room for independent action or judgment in working out job problems.
- 4. Situations involving the direction, control, and planning of an entire activity or the activity of others.

Finally, the indicator for uncertainty was:

- 8. Situations involving performing adequately under stress when confronted with the critical or unexpected or when taking risks.
- While Temme used the October 1966 CPS in a fashion analogous to the April 1971 CPS to generate estimates for the 1960 census categories, the former body of data was not available for generating estimates for routinization, closeness of supervision, and role uncertainty. To arrive at the estimates for 1960 categories, the 1970 estimates were mapped through the transformation matrix found in the Bureau of the Census Technical Paper 26, where 1970 occupation-industry categories are expressed in terms of their 1960 occupation-industry elements (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1972; see Hauser and Featherman, 1977:60, for use of this procedure with SEI and prestige scores).
- A potentially more informative multivariate procedure for dimensionalizing occupations within each generation and then estimating cross generation covariation would estimate: (a) measurement models for the component dimensions of occupation within each generation allowing the constructs to be



reduced and nather than orthogonal; and (b) cross generational effects through structural equation models. Due to its expanded scope along with several substantial conceptual and estimation problems (see Spenner, 1977: 201-302) this effort is relegated to another paper.

- It other analyses not reported here, the DOT item, general educational development (GED), which indexes the overall levels of cognitive complexity required for an average performance in an occupation (the relative levels of mathematical, reasoning, and verbal development) was included in the canonical analysis. When GED is included in the father's occupation-sch's early career occupation analysis, its common variance with the second variate is 40.4 percent for fathers and 24.4 percent for sons. The percentages for the first pair of variates are lower and throughout there are only minor modifications in the relative importance of other variables. This lends some additional credence to Spaeth's argument regarding cognitive and substantive semplexity of environments as a transmission dimension. This variable was not reported in the major analyses because of possible measurement problems take luncon, Featherman, and Duncan, 1972:69-79).
- Race, gender, and age cohort differences in the role transmission relationships will be made in another set of comparisons. It is appropriate to note here that the patterns of transmission for black male offspring in relation to their fathers appear quite similar to those reported here for the total population of males. Role transmission for female offspring, considered in relation to father's or mother's occupations, appears to vary quite markedly from that for males.



### REFERENCES

- Harker, D. G.
  - "Factor analysis of worker trait requirements." Journal of Employment Counseling 6:162-168.
- berg, I.
  - Education and Jobs: The Great Training Robbery. New York: Praeger.
- Biolby, W. and A. Kalleberg
- "The differentiation of occupations." Paper presented to the annual meetings of the American Sociological Association. San Francisco.
- Flau, P. and O. D. Duncan 1967 The American Occupational Structure. New York: Wiley.
- Bluestone, B. A.
  - The Personal Earnings Distribution: Individual and Institutional Determinants. Unpublished Ph.D. Dissertation. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan.
- Proom, L. et al
  - "Worker traits and worker functions in DOT." Journal of Vocational Behavior 11:253-261.
- Coser, R. L.
  - "The complexity of roles as a seed bed of individual autonomy." Pp. 236-263 in L.A. Coser (Ed.), The Idea of Social Structure: Papers in Honor of Robert K. Merton. New York: Harcourt.
- Davis, K. and W. E. Moore
  - "Some principles of stratification." American Sociological Review 10:242-249.
- Duncan, O. D.
  - "Social stratification and mobility." Pp. 675-719 in E. B. Sheldon and W. E. Moore (Eds.), Indicators of Social Change.

    New York: Russell Sage.
- Duncan, O. D., D. L. Featherman, and B. Duncan
- 1972 Socioeconomic Background and Achievement. New York: Seminar Press.
- Eckaus, R.
  - "Economic criteria for education and training." Review of Economics and Statistics 46:181-188.
- Featherman, D. L. and R. M. Hauser
- "Design for a replicate study of social mobility in the United States." Pp. 219-251 in S. Spilerman and K. Land (Eds.), Social Indicator Models. New York: Russell Sage.



- Featherman, D. L., F. L. Jones, and R. M. Hauser

  1975

  "Assumptions of social mobility research in the U.S.: the case of occupational status." Social Science Research 4:329-360.
- Gaertner, G.

  "The intergenerational transmission of job complexity in horizontal divisions of the occupational structure." Paper presented to the Annual Meetings of the American Scciological Association, Chicago.
- dell, R. T.
  1975 Occupations and Social Structure. 2nd Ed. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Haller, A. O. and I. W. Miller

  1971 The Occupational Aspiration Scale. New York: Schenckman.
- Hauser, R. M. and D. L. Featherman

  The Process of Stratification: Trends and Analyses. New York:

  Academic.
- Henzberg, F. B. Mausner, and B. Snyderman 1959 The Mctivation to Work. New York: Wiley.
- Jencks, C. et al
  1972 Inequality: A Reassessment of the Effects of Family and
  Schooling in America. New York: Basic.
- Kalleberg, A.

  1977

  "Work values and job rewards: a theory of job satisfaction."

  American Sociological Review 42:124-143.
- Klatcky, S. and R. H. Hodge

  1971 "A canonical correlation analysis of occupational mobility."

  Journal of the American Statistical Association 66:16-22.
- Kohn, M. L. 1969 Class and Conformity. New York: Dorsey.
- Kohn, M. L. and C. Schooler

  1973

  "Occupational experience and psychological functioning: an assessment of reciprocal effects." American Sociological Review 38:97-118.
- Kohn, M. L. and C. Schooler
  1978 "The reciprocal effects of the substantive complexity of work and intellectual flexibility: a longitudinal assessment."

  American Journal of Sociology. Forthcoming.
- Lucas, R.

  1972 Working Conditions, Wage Lates and Human Capital: A Hedonic Study. Unpublished Ph.D. Dissertation. Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

- Mortimer, J. T.
  - "Intergenerational occupational movement." American Journal of Sociology 79:1278-1299.
- heiss, A. J., et al
  - 1961 Occumitions and Social Status. New York: Free Press.
- Scoville, J.
  - "Education and training requirements for occupations." Review of Economics and Statistics 47:387-394.
- Sewell, W. H. and R. M. Hauser 1975 Education, Occupation and Earnings. New York: Academic.
- Spaeth, J. L.
  - "Cognitive complexity: a dimension underlying the socioeconomic achievement process." Pp. 103-131 in W. H. Sewell, et al (eds.), Schooling and Achievement in American Society. New York: Academic.
- Spenner, K. I.
- 1977 From Generation to Generation: The Transmission of Occupation. Unpublished Ph.D. Dissertation. University of Wisconsin-Madison.
- Stewart, D. K. and W. A. Love
  1968 "A general canonical correlation index." Psychological Bulletin 70:160-163.
- Temme, L. V.
  - Occupation: Meanings and Measures. Washington, D. C.: Bureau of Social Science Research.
- Timm, N. H.
  - Multivariate Analysis with Applications in Education and Psychology. Monterey, California: Brooks-Cole.
- U. S. Bureau of the Census
  - Subject Reports: Occupational Characteristics. PC(2)-7A. Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office.
  - "1970 occupation and industry classification in terms of their 1960 occupation and industry elements." Technical Paper #26. Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office.
  - Subject Reports: Occupational characteristics . PC(2)-7A. Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office.
- U. S. Department of Labor
- 1765 Dictionary of Occupational Titles. Third Ed. (2 Vols.).
  Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office.



		<u>.</u>	14 Mg	Mariana.					The Market	
	ilatteriis duraņa <b>t</b> tab		Programma As traction		l Mis Early Career Occupation		Fatro	भर्भ में ' रूप		
Wan in a second			Liido		Litt		ech		F.'C0	206
WAPTALLE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<u> </u>	<u>K</u>	5.0.	X	<u>s.d.</u>		
Male Meman Education	(1.5.		10.84	1.97	12.76	3.09	11.93 (10.93)	2.29 (2.49)	.316	.374
Sex Currosition	(3, 13) (31, 60)	(21.64)	85.25	1F.09	90,43	14.33	78,05	23.51	.090	.076
Male Fite Logs Little	3), ()	1.47	93.98	4.82	95,41	4.22	(79.61) 83,03	(22.54) 7.69	.114	. 221
Specific obsational Preparation	(90,£1) 5.55	(9,49) 1,64	5.84	1.52	6.81	1.11	(89.00) 4.85	(9.52) 1.75	.041	. 186
Involvement with Cata <sup>b</sup>	3.65	2.23	3,27	2.20	1.78	1.36	4.44	2.24	.075	.218
Involvement with Record	7. 7	1.63	6.95	1.65	ē.77	2.15	7.05	1.70	. 153	. 250
Involve ent with Intrya	4.74	2.1.	4.11	2.55	3.83	3.02	5.07	2.40	. 245	.194
Substantive Com, NewSty	6.57	6.22	9.87	6.52	13.36	5.27	7.70	6.83	. 185	.332
Freedom from Liose Supervision	1.11	. 59	1.25	.56	1.40	.43	.85	.51	.033	.175
Freedom from Enutirization	1.29	.63	1.26	.58	1.56	.40	1.05	.63	.067	. 190
Uncertaint,	57 .47	.44	.47	. 17	.16	.37	. 29	.45	.039	.119
Male Median Earnings	71.11	31.43	50.07	16.27	58,49	25.30	63.24	<b>34.3</b> 8	. 370	.349
Male Employmen	(2,94) (4,12 (7),76)	(19.90) 13.90 (18.03)	75.69	14.53	73.83	15.06	(43.89) 67.62 (63.97)	(20.05)	037	.157

NOTES: a JOS = Jos dational Changes in a Generation data, ENCO = Lenawee County data; n's: OCG = 21,000 (weighted n; adjusts cases to allow use of simple random sample statistical formulae), ENCO = 261;



b for the Data, People and Things variables, a high score indicates a low level of involvement;

<sup>2 239 1967-</sup>bases (census occupation code) scores are listed below the corresponding 1970 figure; all LNCO scores are based in the 1960 categories.

TABLE Conditions between Capation Pariables and Parentical Variates for Pather's occupation-Respondent's Aspiration and that the condition-less in bent's Early Careen Occupation Relationships.

MARINEE Father of University of	?	Father				
Father's Convertible	1				San	
	•	2	3	1	<u>.</u>	Ĵ
Suntain the surprise pate of all the						
Male Metran Education	, -A3	.396	.063	, <u>96</u> 6	. 339	+.003
	- 2.7.3	.0.77	1.	- 141	134	241
Male Rade ou passition	.251	.725	.+ 77	.497	.643	063
Simulfic Aucational Engparation	. 234	.591	.303	.509	.454	.313
Ins. Lement with Patad	.292	. 789	.192	.681	.504	.214
involve ant with People3	,£97	.184	.214	.611	.232	.182
Involvement with Ibings	. દુધ્યુ	138	. აგნ	. 392	045	055
Substant: e Complexity	.672	. 594	.255	,810	.453	.200
Freedom (from Clase Subervision	. 755	.818	.197	.463	.621	.235
	h]	. 625	.504	071	.731	,532
Uncertaint,	-,359	485	.497	212	326	.570
	.851	.055	.118	.852	.001	.134
Male Employment Security	. 207	. 596	379	.412	.391	329
Father's Occupation-						
Son's propriational Aspiration (UMCC)C						
Male Median Education	. 637	. 505	.231	,674	. 259	.002
<pre>5 ← compusition</pre>	-,130	176	063	.045	455	- 130
	. 417	.084	.055	.525	032	.032
	055	.310	.032	.099	.235	.205
	. 089	. 300	<b>.2</b> 07	.149	. 255	.155
	,490	.190	243	.473	. 126	.077
	.651	.032	084	.506	105	.105
Substantive Complexity	.414	.336	.063	. 558	. 134	.126
	-,089	.224	.002	237	.110	.274
		.336	089	173	.326	032
	.145	045	~.055	.179	.100	.187
	.746	.187	134	.772	.170	258
Male Employment Security	.063	.032	.179	110	265	527

a Signs of the coefficents are reflected such that large scale values indicate higher levels of involvement with data and people.



 $<sup>^{</sup>m b}$  The first three canonical correlations are respectively: .447, .267, .163; for all three p< .001.

Give first three camphical correlations are respectively: .542, .385, .363; pk.01 for the first two and persons for the third.

	Prediction of	Proportion of Common Variance with Variates <sup>a</sup>					
	Importance from Nortimer's Interpretation	Father's O Son's Aspi	ccupation ration	- Father's Occupation- Son's Early Career Occupation			
VARIABLE		Father	Son	Father	Son		
Involvement with Data	yes	.141	.111	.745	.764		
Involvement with People	yes	. 335	. 246	.565	.460		
Involvement with Things	yes	.432	.321	.381	.159		
Male Median Earnings	yes	.609	.697	.741	.744		
Male Employment Security	yes	.037	.360	.671	.431		
Freedom from Close Supervision	yes	.058	.143	.711	.655		
Freedom from Routinization	yes	. 173	.137	.713	.822		
Substantive Complexity	yes	. 283	.345	.869	.906		
Specific Vocational Preparation	no	.100	. 105	.496	.563		
Male Median Education	no	.806	.521	.864	.865		
Sex Composition	no	.052	.226	.074	.096		
Male Race Composition	no	. 184	.278	.595	.671		
Uncertainty	no	.026	.077	.490	.476		

The proportion (or "redundancy index") refers to the sum of squared correlations for each variable across the first three canonical variates (see Stewart and Love (1968) or Timm (1975:354-357) ). See Table 1 for data sources and N's.

